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ABSTRACT

*Salmonella* are the major pathogenic bacteria in humans as well as in animals. *Salmonella* species are leading causes of acute gastroenteritis in several countries and salmonellosis remains an important public health problem worldwide, particularly in the developing countries. Isolation of *Salmonella* from a wide range of sources suggests that *Salmonella* is widespread in food animals and meat products and underlines the necessity for a joint and coordinated surveillance and monitoring programs for salmonellosis and other major food borne zoonotic diseases. Food animals harbor a wide range of *Salmonella* and so act as sources of contamination, which is of paramount epidemiological importance in non-typhoid human salmonellosis. Salmonellosis is more aggravated by the ever increasing rate of antimicrobial resistance strains in food animals. The high prevalence and dissemination of multidrug resistant (MDR) *Salmonella* have become a growing public health concern. Multidrug resistant (MDR) strains of *Salmonella* are now encountered frequently and the rates of multidrug resistance have increased considerably in recent years. Food animal consumption is a potential cause for antimicrobial resistant *Salmonella* illnesses besides, the common factors such as overcrowding, poverty, inadequate sanitary conditions, and poor personal hygiene. Practicing good sanitary measures, extensive education programs for proper
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1. INTRODUCTION

There have been heightened concerns about the safety of food animals, not only amongst scientists with an interest in food toxicology or microbiology but also economists and other social scientists that focus on the wider socio-economic issues associated with the safety of a country’s food animal supply [1]. Salmonella are the major pathogenic bacteria in humans as well as in animals. Salmonella species are leading causes of acute gastroenteritis in several countries and salmonellosis remains an important public health problem worldwide, particularly in the developing countries [2]. It is also one of the most common food borne zoonotic diseases. The presence of Salmonella in food animals at slaughter and the consequent cross-contamination of edible carcass tissues present a significant food safety hazard [3,4]. Non-typhoidal Salmonella represents an important human and animal pathogen worldwide [5]. Infection in animals is of importance because of the direct economic effect and even greater importance is that animals constitute a vast reservoir of these organisms for human infection [6].

Isolation of Salmonella from a wide range of sources suggests that Salmonella is widespread in food animals and meat products and underlines the necessity for a joint and coordinated surveillance and monitoring programs for salmonellosis and other major food borne zoonotic diseases. A periodic surveillance of the sources, distribution and prevalent Salmonella serotypes in slaughtered food animals, retail meat products and environment is necessary to control the spread of the pathogen and infection of man through contaminated animal products [7]. Often, infected animals shed Salmonella in feces without showing clinical signs. Various stress factors such as those associated with transport of animals from farm to slaughterhouse augments shedding of Salmonella from carrier animals. Food animals such as cattle may carry Salmonella at slaughter and can serve as sources of contamination and provides an opportunity for entry of the pathogen into the food products [8,9]. This implies that the presence of Salmonella in slaughter cattle and slaughterhouse environment and the potential cross-contamination of carcasses and edible organs can pose food safety hazards [9].

Food animals harbor a wide range of Salmonella and act as sources of contamination, which is of paramount epidemiological importance in non-typhoid human salmonellosis [10,11]. More than 2,500 Salmonella serotypes have been identified, and the 20 most well-known human serotypes represented 78% of all human Salmonella isolates [12,13]. The process of removing the gastrointestinal tract during slaughtering of food animals is regarded as one of the most important sources of carcass and organ contamination with Salmonella at slaughterhouse. Moreover, contamination of meat by Salmonella may occur at slaughterhouse from the excretion of symptomless animals, contaminated slaughterhouse equipment, floors and personnel and the pathogen can gain access to meat at any stage during butchering. Cross contamination of carcasses and meat products could continue during subsequent handling, processing, preparation and distribution [10,11].

Salmonellosis is more aggravated by the ever increasing rate of antimicrobial resistance strains in food animals [2]. The high prevalence and dissemination of multidrug resistant (MDR) Salmonella have become a growing public health concern. Of particular significance is the increasing number of Salmonella isolates that are resistant to clinically important antimicrobial agents such as fluoroquinolones and third-generation cephalosporins, which are used for the treatment of life threatening disease conditions in humans [14,9]. Antimicrobial resistant Salmonella are increasing due to the
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use of antimicrobial agents in food animals at sub-therapeutic level or prophylactic doses which may promote on farm selection of antimicrobial resistant strains and markedly increase the human health risks associated with consumption of contaminated meat products [7,15,2]. Antimicrobial resistant *Salmonella* and other zoonotic bacterial pathogens can be transferred from animals to humans through consumption of contaminated food and food products and thus present a public health risk. The increase in *Salmonella* resistance to the commonly used antimicrobials both in the public health and veterinary sectors is one of the major threats of health care worldwide [7]. Cattle have been implicated as a source of human infection with antimicrobial resistant *Salmonella* through direct contact with livestock and through the isolation of antimicrobial resistant *Salmonella* strains from raw milk, cheddar cheese, and hamburger meat [2].

The emergence and spread of antimicrobial resistant *Salmonella* strains in food animals and humans may be associated with the use of medicated feeds in intensive animal husbandry systems, sub-therapeutic doses and indiscriminate uses of antimicrobials both in animal and human treatments. Various antimicrobials in intensively managed food animals including chicken are often administered through the feed or drinking water either for therapy, prophylaxis or growth promotion. This enhances the risk of proliferation of resistant strains, which can have severe consequences on human health [7].

Multidrug resistant (MDR) strains of *Salmonella* are now encountered frequently and the rates of multidrug resistance have increased considerably in recent years. Even worse, some variants of *Salmonella* have developed multidrug resistance as an integral part of the genetic material of the organism, and are therefore likely to retain their drug resistant genes even when antimicrobial drugs are no longer used [16]. Transfer of resistance genes can occur between *Salmonella* strains or from other bacterial species to *Salmonella*. These other species can be the basis of antibiotic resistance genes that might not be found in the *Salmonella* genetic pool at a given time. In *Salmonella*, plasmids and class I integrons are mainly responsible for such transfers. Genes conferring resistance to aminoglycosides [17,13], beta-lactams [18,19,13], chloramphenicol [19], tetracyclines [20], sulfonamides [21,22], and trimethoprim [23] all have been found on numerous different plasmid types. Many of these plasmids carry multiple antibiotic resistance genes that are transferable to other *Salmonella* strains and other bacterial species [19,13].

Most of the strains of *Salmonella* Typhimurium isolated in a study in western part on Nigeria were resistance to drugs like streptomycin, amoxicillin, tetracycline, ampicillin, kanamycin and chloramphenicol. This data is alarming since the isolates were already showing high resistance to drugs that are meant as alternate therapy to salmonellosis treatment; especially isolates from blood were resistance to the commonly used antibiotics. Drug resistant *Salmonella* emerged in response to antimicrobial usage in food animals, which has also contributed or resulted in major outbreaks of salmonellosis. Selective pressure from the use of antimicrobials is a major driving force behind the emergence of resistance, but other factors also need to be taken into consideration [24].

Food animal consumption is a potential cause for antimicrobial resistant *Salmonella* illnesses besides, the common factors such as overcrowding, poverty, inadequate sanitary conditions, and poor personal hygiene [25]. *Salmonella* contamination was high in food items such as minced beef, mutton and pork samples obtained from retail supermarkets and slaughterhouses, that means *Salmonella* contamination is especially high in meat samples as compared to others food items. Supermarkets and slaughterhouses personnel are also a victim of *Salmonella* contamination and the magnitude of the problem represents a real public health hazard [26,27].

Problems have their origin in the methods of farming of animal foods. Many farmers are illiterate and follow methods of production that are centuries old. And also raw meat coming from slaughterhouse is available in open air local retail shops without appropriate temperature control and this is purchased by households and also partially cooked minced meat (Kitfo) is served in restaurants. Meat processing at retail level is likely to contribute to the higher levels of contamination in minced carcasses [28]. However, considerable proportion of patients may not visit health centers unless symptoms are serious due to shortage of resources and lack of awareness. So, this review used for updating information on their prevalence and resistance patterns which is very important to suggest the acceptance of the carcass in relation to the
standards and for proper selection and use of antimicrobial agents.

2. SALMONELLOSIS AND ITS SOURCES

Food borne sources of *Salmonella* include a wide range of domestic and wild animals and a variety of food stuffs including food of both animal and plant origin [29]. *Salmonella* serotypes have a broad host range [30], prevalent in the warm blooded animal population [31], including rodents [32], snakes [33], and free living terrestrial and aquatic turtles [34]. *Salmonella Typhimurium* and *Salmonella Dublin* appear to be the commonest serovars isolated from animal especially cattle, although the distribution of these two serovars may differ between countries, and *S. Dublin* is thought not to be present in some countries [35]. Infection by this *Salmonella* serovars occurs when susceptible animal ingest feed or water that has been contaminated with feces from animals shedding the organism. Some adult animal which recover from *Salmonella* infection, especially in the case of *S. Dublin*, may become active carriers and excrete the organism continuously or intermittently in their feces for years. Salmonellosis has a wide spectrum of manifestations in animals. Asymptomatic, mild clinical or fulminant bacteremia/septicemia and endotoxemic infections can occur. The number of *Salmonella* required to produce clinical disease is dependent on the virulence of the serotype, infectious dose and immunity of the host. Infection with a host adapted *Salmonella* strain (S. dublin in cattle) can result in a cyclic, endemic disease that is maintained on a farm by carrier animals shedding in the feces. The carriers can shed constantly or intermittently [36].

2.1. *Salmonella* in Cattle

Different parts of animals such as cattle are one of the main source of cattle carcass. Hide has been familiar as the main source of foodborne pathogens, including *Salmonella*. *Salmonella* also has been found in cattle lymph nodes and immediately contaminate the carcass during slaughtering. Most of the lymph nodes located in fat tissues of beef carcasses are not removed during slaughtering. These lymph nodes are ground with lean and fat trimmings to produce ground beef, making lymph nodes a promising source of *Salmonella* in ground beef carcass. None of the published studies have included the simultaneous determination of *Salmonella* on the hides and in lymph nodes or sampling and tracking of all potential sources of *Salmonella* in ground beef [37].

Infection in cattle may also occur via other routes, including the respiratory tract, by inhalation of aerosol [38]. The genus *Salmonella* pose a serious threat to the domestic food animal including cattle. All animals including cattle are at increased risk of developing disease if their normal flora is disrupted (stress, antibiotics). These circumstances render cattle susceptible to exogenous exposure or activation of silent infections. Poor sanitation, overcrowding, unfavorable weather, stress and surgery, parturition, parasitism, transportation, and concurrent viral infections are all factors which predispose cattle to clinical salmonellosis. In the subclinical form, cattle and other animal may have a latent infection and harbor the pathogen in its lymph nodes, or it may be a carrier and eliminate the agent in its fecal material briefly, intermittently, or persistently [39]. These organisms are responsible for significant morbidity and mortality in their respective hosts, as well as causing substantial disease to humans consuming processed meats derived from the infected cattle. What may be considered now is the emergence of different food vehicles, such as meat, as the source of these infections, forcing industry to examine its management practices and incorporate new procedures to reduce the incidence and severity of the problem [26]. The infectious dose for healthy adult cattle by *Salmonella* high in number [5]. In adult cattle salmonellosis commonly occurs close to parturition and may be associated with intercurrent disease. The growth of *Salmonella* in the rumen following ingestion is influenced by dietary intake before and after the *Salmonella* is ingested. *Salmonella* disappear rapidly from the rumen of regularly fed cattle, but maintain or increase their numbers when feed intake is decreased or interrupted for one or more days. Feeding after a period of starvation is associated with multiplication of *Salmonella*. Disruption of normal fermentation with production of lactate favors the less fastidious *Salmonella*, which multiplies rapidly using the available substrate. Qualitative dietary stress and dietary changes have been implicated as a predisposing risk factor in *Salmonella* outbreaks in dairy cattle. Reduction in the prevalence of *Salmonella* may be observed following manipulation of the ration formulation and adjustment of feeding practices [40].
Salmonella within and between herd prevalence estimates vary considerably, with between herd point prevalence estimates for cattle operations ranging from 2-42% and within herd estimates for these operations ranging from 0-37% [41,42]. In addition, herds with clinically sick animals are generally characterized by higher within herd prevalence than herds where clinical salmonellosis is absent, and Salmonella distribution may differ between herds with and without clinical cases. Large herd size represents an important risk factor for salmonellosis, and the risk of Salmonella shedding seems to vary by production system, housing type, general hygiene level, management type and animal age, although the results reported in the literature have been somewhat contradictory [43]. Calves, heifers, and parturient cows generally appear to be at a particular risk of infection, and one study found heifers and parturient cows to be the most likely cattle to become asymptomatic carriers. The distribution of Salmonella among cattle varies greatly over time, and differs among geographic regions, age groups, clinical manifestation, and production systems [44,42]. Illness from salmonellosis in the cattle is seen predominantly in young calves, although occasionally it is seen in adult cattle as well. Salmonella have been isolated from the feces of healthy cattle, where the pathogen may exist as a normal member of the gastrointestinal population or as a transient member of the gastrointestinal microbial population. Researchers have shown that as herd size increased, fecal shedding of Salmonella increased. However, other studies have found that herd size did not play a role in Salmonella shedding [45]. Genetics plays a very important role in the relationship between Salmonella and its potential host animal including cattle. Some Salmonella isolates display a very narrow host specificity, while many of the remaining members of this genus express a wider ranging host infectivity. Furthermore, members of a particular Salmonella isolate express differential capabilities for infecting a particular host. Conversely, genetics plays an important role in enabling the host to resist infection by a Salmonella pathogen [35].

### 2.2 Salmonella in Other Animals

As many as 90% of reptiles may be Salmonella carriers. Between 3% and 5% of all cases of salmonellosis in humans have been associated with exposure to exotic pets, especially reptiles (including pet turtles, iguanas, lizards, and snakes) [46]. The United States banned the sale of small turtles (carapace < 4 in. [10.2 cm]), and reissued a warning because of a resurgence of turtle sales and subsequent outbreaks [47]. Pet rodents probably represent an under-recognized source of Salmonella infection. In 2007, these animals were responsible for an outbreak of multidrug-resistant Salmonella in several states. Of 22 patients interviewed, 13 (59%) in 10 states reported exposure to pet hamsters, rats, or mice, and 2 (9%) had secondary infections [48]. Animals in petting zoos may also serve as sources of infection, as also certain other animals, such as baby poultry and livestock. After that, Salmonella is transmitted to vectors such as rats, flies and birds where Salmonella can shed in their feces for weeks and even months. Following the direct transmission, moving animals such as swine, cattle and chickens act as the important risk factor for infection [49]. The main reservoirs for non-typhoidal Salmonella are animals including; poultry, livestock and pets [50]. Historically, the major mode of transmission for non-typhoidal Salmonella was consumption of inadequately cooked or pasteurized foods of animal origin, such as poultry, beef (including ground beef), fish, eggs, and dairy products (including ice cream) [49]. Once carried by vectors or transferred to food, consumption by human can result in the risk of salmonellosis [38].

Pork has been identified as a repeated source for salmonellosis in various studies [51]. About 15 to 20% of all animal and human salmonellosis cases in Denmark, Netherlands, and Germany were associated with the eating of pork [52]. However, not only pork but also poultry have been associated with the transmission of Salmonella [53]. Salmonella is known to inhabit the gastrointestinal tract of animals without producing any clinical or pathologic-anatomic signs [52]. Therefore, carcasses can become contaminated with Salmonella at the time of slaughter. Contaminated raw or undercooked red meats are the main routes of transmission for this foodborne pathogen.

### 2.3 Salmonella in Fomites

Animal reservoirs are infected orally because Salmonella normally originates from the contaminated environment and also contaminated feed. Other modes of transmission include ingestion of contaminated water and contact with contaminated dyes and medical instruments [49]. The environment contaminated...
with *Salmonella* serves as the infection source for cattle carcass because *Salmonella* can survive in the environment for a long time. So, transmission of *Salmonella* from the food processing plants and equipment during food preparation are also of great importance to infect carcass. The *Salmonella* cells can attach to food contact surfaces such as plastic cutting board which may develop into biofilm once attached and hence cause cross-contamination. Consequently, *Salmonella* can enter the food chain at any point from livestock feed, through food manufacturing, processing and retailing as well as catering and food preparation in the home [38].

### 2.4 *Salmonella* in Food Handlers

Infected food handlers have been shown to transmit *Salmonella* and have been responsible for outbreaks. Workers who have been ill can shed *Salmonella* for a median of 30 days (range, 2 days to 280 days). Therefore, assessment of food-worker infection is essential for controlling outbreaks traced to restaurants [54]. For example, *Salmonella Typhi* and *Salmonella Paratyphi A* do not have animal reservoir, therefore infection can occur by eating the improperly handled and under cooked food by infected individuals [55,34].

### 3. CONTAMINATION AND PREDISPOSING RISK FACTORS

#### 3.1 Contamination and Microbial Load of Carcass

The hygiene conditions at the production line for slaughter animals are one of the critical factors influencing both, the level of carcass microbial contamination and the type of determined microorganisms. Experimental studies have shown that total aerobic bacterial contamination depends on a slaughter site and may range in bovine carcasses from $10^2$ cfu/cm$^2$ up to $10^5$ cfu/cm$^2$ [56]. Effective intervention to reduce contamination of beef carcasses begins with determining potential sources of contamination. Tissues under the hide of healthy cattle are usually sterile [57]. Consequently, tissues become contaminated during the slaughtering process. Sources of meat contamination during slaughter may be classified as handling practices of slaughter man and cross-contamination. The extent to which potential contamination sources become hazardous to public health depends on management and unpredictable events or factors. Even in the best managed slaughter facilities, contamination may still occur. Fortunately, most bacterial colonies which have been isolated from beef carcasses have been non-pathogenic, although human pathogens such as *Salmonella* have been isolated also [58]. Surface contamination of carcasses during slaughter and processing can be reduced by ensuring good manufacturing practices such as hygiene and sanitation of the floor, equipment, and carcasses, with suitable disinfectants and sanitizers [59]. Meat has a microbial flora from different sources. Also, several methods have been proposed for decreasing the microbial flora to a standard allowance for increasing the shelf-life and decontamination of microbial pathogens including cooking, freezing, fermenting, salting, smoking, drying, and pickling [60].

A cross-sectional study was conducted in cattle and pig carcasses showed that mean total viable counts ranged from 2.4 to $4.2 \log_{10}$ cfu/cm$^2$ on pig carcasses and from 2.7 to 3.8 $\log_{10}$ cfu/cm$^2$ on cattle carcasses. Amongst sites, the back (pigs) and neck (cattle) tended to yield higher total viable counts [61]. Similarly in calf carcasses results have shown that the total aerobic bacteria count in each slaughter stage ranged from $3.5 \times 10^2$ cfu/cm$^2$ up to $7.0 \times 10^3$ cfu/cm$^2$. In most cases, no significant differences of total bacterial contamination of carcasses in each slaughter stage were obtained. At stage II, a significantly higher total aerobic bacteria count ($10^6$ cfu/cm$^2$) was observed, when compared to stage I where $2.3 \times 10^5$ cfu/cm$^2$ was reached [56]. In Khartoum State the study was conducted to evaluate the bacteriological contamination in indigenous cattle carcasses in slaughterhouse, during April 2008-June 2008. The mean total viable count of bacteria after skinning, evisceration and washing operations at shoulder site were, $3.03 \pm 0.15$, $2.73 \pm 0.02$ and $2.79 \pm 0.10 \log_{10}$ cfu/cm$^2$, in the neck site were $3.65 \pm 0.02$, $3.42 \pm 0.02$ and $3.72 \pm 0.02 \log_{10}$ cfu/cm$^2$ and in brisket site were $3.1 \pm 0.14$, $3.71 \pm 0.04$ and $3.65 \pm 0.02$, respectively. In addition, in the rump site, the total viable counts in these operations were $3.24 \pm 0.02$, $2.88 \pm 0.02$, and $3.18 \pm 0.03 \log_{10}$ cfu/cm$^2$ in three points of operation [62]. Another study in China showed that beef samples from Sakasaka had the highest mean total bacterial count of $1.67 \times 10^6$ cfu/cm$^2$, followed by Aboabo ($5.75 \times 10^5$ cfu/cm$^2$), Central Market (internal) ($4.325 \times 10^5$ cfu/cm$^2$), Nyohini ($3.875 \times 10^5$ cfu/cm$^2$) and Central Market (external) ($4.325 \times 10^5$ cfu/cm$^2$). While their mean log counts
were 6.22, 5.76, 5.64, 5.59 and 5.57 for Sakasaka, Aboabo, Central Market (internal), Nyohini and Central Market (external), respectively [63]. In Mumbai, a total of 54 swab samples were from the abattoir, while 81 swab samples were from three meat shops reported that the average total viable count (TVC) for all environmental contamination points in the abattoir was 5.80 ± 0.17, where as in the shops it was 6.05 ± 0.25 log_{10}cfu/cm^2 indicating higher microbial load in traditional meat shops [64].

3.2 Predisposing Risk Factors for the Prevalence of Salmonella Species

There is a lack of studies of risk factors for Salmonella in cattle carcass [65]. The risk factor was divided into three sections: (i) slaughterhouse practices (cleaning and disinfection of pens, truck washing, frequency of knife disinfection, water treatment, etc.); (ii) information on the animal lots (time from farm to slaughter, cleanliness of the animals, tattoo number, and producer number); and (iii) any event during the slaughtering that may have affected the contamination of carcasses (mechanical problems, slaughter rate, stops, condemnation rate, contamination rate, gut ruptures, percentage of filled stomachs, and employee training) [66].

The probability that a live animal is contaminated (both internally and externally) and the extent of contamination (pathogen load) depend on factors which can be affected by management before transport to the abattoir (on-farm and market factors), during transport, and while the animals are being held at the abattoir before slaughter [58]. However, suggestions of factors of importance for Salmonella occurrence in cattle generally include hygienic factors in the herds e.g. flies in pens [65] contact with poultry manure or wild bird manure, outdoor calving, herd size and herd expansions [67]. Hygiene and contacts at markets and in vehicles are also likely to be important risk factors before slaughter. In Danish dairy herds, risk factors for becoming infected in 2003 included herd size, number of purchased cattle from test-positive herds and number of test-positive neighbor herds. Organic herds were less likely to recover than conventional herds indicating that different types of management can influence the occurrence of Salmonella in cattle herds [68].

Salmonella contaminated carcass could be from the actual infection of food animals at the farm. Off-farm rearing of heifers has been acknowledged as an important risk of infection with multi-drug-resistant Salmonella in US dairy herds [63]. One study also reported that for heifers and cows, recent antimicrobial treatment increased the probability of isolating Salmonella from fecal samples. Also, Salmonella has been associated with high calf mortality in dairy herds. This may be due to both direct effects of the infection and underlying management factors [44].

Transport factors such as the type and cleanliness of transport conveyance, distance travelled and duration of journey, harshness of ride, density of animals in the conveyance and frequency of stops, may affect the pathogen load including Salmonella. Interruption of feeding just before transport, during transport, and while being held at auction barns and abattoirs affect the growth of potential pathogens in the rumen and fecal shedding of bacteria. The number of calves which shed Salmonella has been found to increase after transportation [69]. The length of time animals are held at the abattoir before slaughter can affect the pathogen load by increasing the probability of exposure and infection. Sanitation of walkways, pen floors, railings, feed and water affect the pathogen load. Steep walkways with sharp turns increase the likelihood that animals will fall and become contaminated or injured. Excessive prodding of animals to move them bruises tissue [58].

Reptiles also the risk factors for cattle contamination by Salmonella. S. enteric subspecies arizonaeis widely distributed in reptilian species. Reptiles, particularly snakes, are the natural reservoirs of S. enteric subspecies arizonae. This organism has also been responsible for severe outbreaks in turkeys, chickens and sheep and cattle [70]. Though the organism is rare, several studies suggest that snakes and reptiles harbor it and transmit it to humans and other mammals, resulting in gastroenteritis and systemic infections [71]. In particular, rattlesnake meat, capsules and powders have been linked to infection with S. arizonae, although other animals or animal products have been implicated, including reptiles, poultry, sheep, rats, dogs, and cats [72].

With regard to risk factors at the slaughterhouse associated with the presence of Salmonella in the final product, study in Canada demonstrated the importance of the pre-slaughter and pre-evisceration environment on the final status of
carcasses. Namely, the cleanliness of the hogs and the status of the scald water proved to be significant factors associated with the final bacteriological status of the carcasses. Results obtained by genetic characterization and serology indicated that particular attention should be paid to the herd contamination levels of incoming animals and the pre-evisceration environment to better control Salmonella at slaughter [66]. The feces and fecal contaminated products of animals can contain many enteric organisms including Salmonella. When the carcass is opened and the viscera removed, spillage of rumen and intestinal fluids may contaminate the carcass, workers, processing utensils and viscera tables or trucks [58]. People working in meat processing plants also can act as vector of many food borne pathogenic bacteria including Salmonella [73]. Microbial contamination of slaughtered cattle carcass results from starts during slaughter, processing and when the carcass becomes contaminated with microorganisms residing on external surfaces of the animal itself, the gastrointestinal tract, lymph nodes of the animal and in the plant environment [74,75].

Furthermore, certain processing steps increase contamination by spreading the existing contaminants attached to the fresh meat surface to its entire mass or by introducing additional contaminants. For example, meat chopping or grinding results in greater microbial loads because of larger areas of exposed surface, more readily available water and nutrients, additional processing time, and contact with more sources of contamination such as equipment [76]. Salmonella contaminated carcass could be from cross-contamination during slaughtering, distribution and subsequent handling and processing. Cross-contamination may arise from knives and hand of the slaughter man. The other probable source of cross-contamination could be from Salmonella carrier slaughter house personnel [77]. The study conducted in Ethiopia also documented that level of carcass contamination was considered as an outcome variable taking skin swab, mesenteric lymph node, fecal content, evisceration’s hand swab, eviscerating knife swab and water samples Salmonella status and total slaughter volume as risk factors for carcass contamination [11].

The study conducted in Canada showed that independent variables, when tested individually, indicated that Salmonella contamination of scalding tanks, knives, and boots, cleanliness of hogs, and the number of chain stops was associated with the prevalence of Salmonella in the lots. No difference was found between clean lots and relatively clean ones. There was a positive, but not significant, correlation between the prevalence of Salmonella on carcasses and chain speed and the frequency of knife washing. There was no correlation between prevalence of Salmonella on carcasses and cleaning product concentration used: chlorine or quaternary ammonium. Salmonella prevalence was similar for the two types of cleaning products and for the two types of rinsing [66].

4. PREVALENCE OF SALMONELLA SPECIES

Salmonella is a gram-negative bacteria that causes infections in a huge number of avian, mammalian, and reptilian species. The genus Salmonella includes the species Salmonella bongori and Salmonella enterica, which is divided into six subspecies: I, II, IIIa, IIIb, IV, and VI. Subspecies I signifies roughly 99% of all reported human isolates in the United States. Salmonella isolates are conventionally classified by serotype, which is based on the O and H antigen immune-reactivity of an isolate. More than 2,500 Salmonella serotypes have been identified, and the 20 most common human serotypes represented 78% of all human Salmonella isolates reported in the United States in 2003 [12,24].

Salmonella infections are typically contracted through the ingesting of contaminated food, water, or through contact with an infected host. Salmonella is one of the foremost causes of foodborne illness in the United States and the European Union (EU) [78,79], with estimated incidences of 15.1 cases [80] and 42.2 cases [78] respectively. Most Salmonella infections do not needed treatment and result in temporary gastroenteritis [81]. In invasive life-threatening infections, the use of antimicrobial drugs is needed [17], but the efficacy of many of these drugs is declining as antimicrobial-resistant Salmonella subtypes arise [82,13].

4.1. Global Overview

In many countries incidence of human Salmonella infection has increased drastically over the years. The two most commonly isolated serotypes of concern and mostly implicated in
disease outbreaks are *Salmonella enterica* serotype Typhimurium and *Salmonella enterica* serotype Enteritidis [83,84,85]. Besides the importance of this microorganism for public health, another aspect is the cost generated by human salmonellosis. During 1999, the cost linked to food borne salmonellosis ranged between 560 million and 2.8 billion € in Europe, where *Salmonella* was estimated to be responsible for nearly 166 000 cases [86].

It is reported that the rate of salmonellosis in the United States is between 15 to 20 cases per 100,000 people [87]. The *Salmonella* species is one of the eight microorganisms in the European Union Zoonoses Monitoring Directive (EUZMD), which shows it is a disease considered to have a high impact on human and animal health in the Union [88]. The Enter-net surveillance program reported *Salmonella enterica* serotypes Enteritidis and Typhimurium, the most predominant organisms identified by the participating countries making up 80% of all isolates during the period of 1998-2003. It also reported that for all *Salmonella* the general trend is declining with a reduction of 35.3% in 2003 over 1998 [89].

The burden of salmonellosis in Peshawar, Pakistan was estimated from published studies that Prevalence of *Salmonella* on cattle body coat, carcasses, slaughtering floor and tools of the butchers were investigated. The animals were divided into two groups i.e. washed and unwashed animals. *Salmonella* was found in 100% samples. Carcasses samples from unwashed animals had significantly higher log total viable count of *Salmonella* (24.45 ± 0.06) as compared with washed animals (21.77± 0.05) [90]. Studies in Spain showed that *Salmonella* was detected in 9 (17.3%) of the cattlesamples. All of the isolates were characterized as *Salmonella enteric* serotype Frintrop [91]. In the United Arab Emirates however, Wernery [92] found the prevalence of *Salmonella* in camels to be less than five percent. Another study in United Arab Emirates on fresh chicken meat samples 46.67% was positive for *Salmonella* of the total samples. Samples obtained from the supermarkets tested negative for *Salmonella* while chicken samples obtained from the butcheries tested positive [93]. In Australia, *Salmonella* was detected in 21 (6.8%) of the 310 cattle tested, 14 (9%) from lot-fed cattle feces and seven (4.5%) from grass-fed cattle feces. There was no significant difference in the prevalence of *Salmonella* between grass fed and lot-fed cattle [94]. In Lao People’s Democratic Republic the reported organism were isolated from cecum samples of buffaloes and pigs. The organisms were a prevalence of 8% (4/50) from buffaloes and 76% (37/49) from pigs. In buffaloes, 1 animal harbored both S. Derby and S. Javiana. In pigs, the most predominant serotypes were S. Derby (51%) followed by S. Anatum (45%), S. Weltevreden (15%) and S. Stanley (5%) [95].

In Bhutan, the prevalence of *Salmonella* was 13% with *Salmonella* Enteritidis as the most frequently isolated serotype (84.62%), followed by *Salmonella* Typhimurium (15.38%). The isolation of *Salmonella* during winter and late spring was significantly different. Broiler carcasses were 10.62 times more likely to yield *Salmonella* in the hot season as compared to the winter season [3]. The reported prevalence of *Salmonella* in South Asian countries varies from country to country. Studies in northern Thailand revealed 57% prevalence during 2002-2003 [96], 14.5% prevalence in Kathmandu, Nepal [97], and 42.63% prevalence in Vietnam [98]. Sero-prevalence of *Salmonella* in Bangladesh has been reported to be 23.46% [99]. Not much literature has been available on the prevalence of *Salmonella* in carcasses, few researches reports negligible [100] to as low as 5% [101], to a prevalence of 69% [102]. The overall annual incidence of food borne salmonellosis in India is nearly 6 per 1000 inhabitants [1]. In Korea a total of 5.28% *Salmonella* species was isolated from fecal materials and organ samples. The predominant *Salmonella* is *Salmonella enterica* serotype and serovar was group B (69.8%) and *Salmonella* Typhimurium (47.6%), S. Derby (20.6%) and S. Heidelberg (1.6%) [103].

### 4.2 Status in African Countries

The Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations and the World Health Organization jointly state that "illness due to contaminated food was perhaps the most widespread health problem in the contemporary world,” and “an important cause of reduced economic productivity” [104]. With the increasing population in the developing world, there is an increasing demand for meat and meat products which will force the present resource driven system of livestock production to a demand driven system [105] which will increase the disease transmission risks. There is a multifactorial risk of food borne hazards in the
developing countries due to poor sanitation and inadequate access to potable water [1].

Studies conducted in different regions of Africa including Namibia, Kenya and Nigeria have always topped the incidence of salmonellosis [106,4,107] and is the most seriously perceived food risks, even in the developed countries [108]. In Kenya sixteen (13.8%) of 116 samples were positive for Salmonella. Three Salmonella enteric subspecies enteric serovars, namely Saintpaul, Braenderup, and Heidelberg were identified, S. Saintpaul being predominant [4]. Also in Namibia from a total samples examined, 10.9% were found to be positive for Salmonella. A total of 29 Salmonella serovars were identified from one or both sample types, with S. Chester being the most frequent isolated, followed by S. Schwarzengrund and S. Chartres [107]. In Nigeria Lagos among Salmonella species isolated from the stool samples collected from food handlers were S. Typhi, S. Enteritidis, S. Choleraesuis, S. Paratyphi A and S. Arizona with prevalence of 6.8%, 5.3%, 2.9%, 1.5% and 0.5%, respectively. S. Enteritidis and S. Typhimurium were isolated from fecal cattle samples with prevalence of 12% and 3%, respectively [106].

Like other developing countries, in Ethiopia Salmonella species are the major cause of food born disease and it cause mortality and morbidity particularly in human and animal. A cross-sectional study was conducted in central region of Ethiopia to determine the prevalence and distribution of Salmonella serotypes in minced meat beef, mutton and pork from retail supermarkets reported that out of the total meat samples examined, 44 (14.7%) were Salmonella positive. Salmonella was detected in 14.4% (23/160) minced beef, 14.1% (12/85) mutton and 16.4% (9/55) pork samples analyzed. Of the total 44 Salmonella positive samples, nine different serotypes were identified. The dominant serotype identified was S. Infantis (36.4%) followed by S. Braenderup (29.5%), S. Anatum (9.1%) and S. Bovismorbidicans (9.1%). Other Salmonella serotypes isolated include S. Vejle, S. Dublin, S. Saintpaul, S. Infantis and S. Braenderup were isolated from minced beef, mutton and pork samples whereas S. Dublin and S. Saintpaul were isolated only from minced beef samples [27].

In recent time a study by Fentabil Getnet [109] in the central part of Ethiopia (Addis Ababa) reported that eight Salmonella species were isolated among 233 food handlers giving an isolation rate of 3.4%, all were females. Of these; two S. Typhi, one S. Paratyphi A and five unidentified Salmonella species were isolated. A study conducted by Bayleyegn Molla et al. [7] different serotypes were identified from slaughtered cattle (4.2%), camels (16.2%), chicken meat and giblets (23.6%). Among this predominant serovars were S. Braenderup, S. Dublin and S. Saintpaul followed by S. Typhimurium (including var. Copenhagen) and S. Anatum. Similarly, a study in Addis Ababa from September 2003 to February 2004 documented that Salmonella species isolated from food items and stool samples, of which, 7.8% were positive for Salmonella from food samples and of sixty-eight stool samples five gave positive result (7.4%). About 14% of chicken carcass, 11.3% of pork, 10.8% of mutton, 8.5% of minced beef, 2.1% of cottage cheese, 2.3% of fish and none of the ice cream yielded Salmonella. A total of 14 different serotypes out of 98 Salmonella isolates were identified. Salmonella Newport (41.8%) was the most prevalent serotype, followed by S. Braenderup (12.2%), S. Hadar (8.2%), S. Typhimurium (7.1%), S. Dublin (6.1%) and S. Haifa (6.1%). Less commonly isolated Salmonella serotypes included: S. Infantis, S. Kentucky, S. Bovismorbidicans, S. Anatum, S. Zanzibar, S. Kottbus, S. Saintpaul and Salmonella Newport and S. Kentucky were reported for the first time in Ethiopia. Salmonella Newport was isolated from all sample types except ice cream, while S. Braenderup, S. Kottbus, S. Saintpaul were detected only from chicken carcass, pork and minced beef samples, respectively [26].

In Bahir Dar Salmonella isolates from cattle consisting of Salmonella Typhimurium, Salmonella Newport, Salmonella Haifa, Salmonella Heidelberg, Salmonella Infantis, and Salmonella Mishmarahaemek were identified. Salmonella Typhimurium and Salmonella Newport were most frequently isolated while Salmonella Heidelberg and Salmonella Mishmarahaemek were isolated least [110]. According to Sefinew Alemu and Bayleyegn Molla [110] Salmonella was detected from liver, mesenteric lymph nodes, carcass swab, and intestinal content samples with prevalence of 1.1%, 3.2%, 4.8%, and 5.9%, respectively. According to Bayeh Abera et al. [111] 1.6% food handler were found positive for S. Typhi. Of these, 6.5% were suffering from diarrhea.
5. ANTIMICROBIAL SUSCEPTIBILITY OF 
Salmonella

5.1 Drug Resistivity of Salmonella Species

Until recently, Salmonella species were highly susceptible to the most commonly used antibiotics [112]. The resistance of Salmonella to a single antibiotic was first reported in the early 1960s [113,38]. The most widely used antibiotics for treatment of salmonellosis in humans is a group of fluoroquinolones and third-generation cephalosporins. The earlier drugs chloramphenicol, ampicillin, amoxicillin and trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole are occasionally used as alternatives [114]. Since then, the isolation frequency of Salmonella strains resistant to one or more antibiotics have increased in the Saudi Arabia, United States, United Kingdom and other countries of the world. This is due to the increased and uncontrolled use as well as easy accessibility to antibiotics in many countries of the world [115,38]. Emerging resistance in Salmonella has been described especially in Africa and Asia and the appearance of Salmonella Typhimurium DT104 in the late 1980s raised main public health concern, thereby threatening the lives of infected individuals stated that multi-resistance occurred in Salmonella species [116,113,38].

Fluoroquinolones are the most commonly used antimicrobial agent for the treatment of invasive Salmonella infections in adults [117]. Salmonella isolates with decreased susceptibility to fluoroquinolones (but that are not resistant to fluoroquinolones) commonly have a single point mutation in a chromosomal gene [118]. Third-generation cephalosporins, such as ceftriaxone, are commonly used for treatment of invasive Salmonella infections in children because of their pharmaco-dynamic properties and low prevalence of resistance to these agents. Therefore, there is concern about the potential emergence of ceftriaxone-resistant Salmonella. The first reported case of domestically acquired ceftriaxone-resistant Salmonella was in a 12-year-old child in Nebraska [119].

High degree of multiple drug resistance was observed in Salmonella isolates from different food animal samples that indicate drug resistance of Salmonella is becoming a crucial health problem in this part of the world. The prevalence of Salmonella strains resistant to more than one antibiotic may be due to the comprehensive use of antibiotics included in feeds as growth promoters and due to the widespread use of antibiotics in food animal industries. Studies in Dubai, United Arab Emirates reported that all the isolates (100%) showed resistance to cephalexin and rifampicin. A high degree of resistance was also observed for ampicillin and tetracycline while 87.88% of these isolates were sensitive to ciprofloxacin and amikacin [93]. A cross-sectional study was conducted from November 2006 to April 2007 in Bhutan was reported the antimicrobial susceptibility of Salmonella isolates. Among seven antimicrobial tested, resistance was highest for nalidixic acid (96.15%) followed by amoxicillin (11.54%) and cephalexin (5.77%). Ciprofloxacin and sulpha-trimethoprim showed resistance of 1.92% each. While gentamicin was sensitive to all the isolates tested, chloramphenicol had a sensitivity of 98.08%. The isolates were resistant to a maximum of three antimicrobials. All eight Salmonella Typhimurium isolates were resistant to nalidixic acid with one isolate showing simultaneous resistance to cephalexin. Salmonella Enteritidis was resistant to five of the seven antimicrobials tested with simultaneous multidrug resistance up to three antimicrobials [3].

In Korea antimicrobial susceptibility of the Salmonella isolated from pig varies as follows: norfloxacin (75%), ciprofloxacin (67.5%), amikacin (60%), colistin (60%), enrofloxacin (55%). All of isolates were resistant to erythromycin, penicillin, tetracycline and lincomycin [103]. In Tehran, Iran, among the variety of antibiotics tested, the highest resistance was found with nalidixic acid followed by tetracycline, trimethoprim, and streptomycin. The percentages resistance of isolates from meat samples was 36.8%, 21%, 26.3% and 5.3%, respectively. About 23.5% of the Salmonella strains were multi-resistant to two or more antibiotic families. In overall, the degree of resistance of Salmonella to nalidixic acid was greater than other tested antibiotics [120].

In USA study conducted on cattle carcass and feces showed that Salmonella isolates were tested for antimicrobial drug susceptibility. Among this 97% (n =101) of the isolates were resistant to at least one antimicrobial drug; however, only 4.0% were resistant to two or more. The most common resistance was to sulfamethoxazole. These results indicate that the presence of microorganisms resistant to
antimicrobial drugs is common in cattle and beef [121]. Salmonella isolates recovered from dairy cows had relatively little resistance to the antimicrobial agents; 83.0% of the isolates were susceptible to all antimicrobials tested [41]. Another study in USA indicated that of the 18 Salmonella-positive lymph node samples, 3 contained multidrug-resistant Salmonella. All three of these samples were from lymph nodes removed from the carcasses of culled cattle [122].

In Brazil Salmonella isolates from broiler carcasses observed resistance to colistin, novobiocin, erythromycin and tetracycline in 100% isolates. Strains showed intermediate resistance at different levels to kanamycin (1.25%), enrofloxacin (3.75%), neomycin (3.75%), fosfomycin (20%), sulphonamides (86.25%) and nitrofurantoin (90%). Resistance to ciprofloxacin, norfloxacin, gentamicin, polymyxin B, sulphamethrim and sulphazotrim was not found [123]. In Canada a study conducted in swine farm reported that more than half of the isolates (53.4%) were susceptible to all of the 18 antimicrobials. No resistance was observed to amikacin, amoxicillin/clavulanic acid, cefoxitin, ceftiofur, ceftriaxone, cephalothin, ciprofloxacin, imipenem or nalidixic acid. Less than 1% of isolates were resistant to apramycin, gentamicin and trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole. Higher frequencies of resistance were observed for chloramphenicol (4.7%), ampicillin (7.8%), kanamycin (11.8%), sulfamethoxazole (21.1%), streptomycin (25.5%) and tetracycline (38.8%) [124]. Study in Rockville, Maryland showed that of the 257 Salmonella isolates obtained, 54 isolates (21%) were resistant to at least one antimicrobial [125].

Studies in European countries indicated that Salmonella were isolated from food producing animals and tested for antimicrobial susceptibility. In Japan Salmonella isolates resistant to ampicillin, dihydrostreptomycin, kanamycin, oxytetracycline, chloramphenicol, bicozamycin, nalidixic acid, oxolinic acid and trimethoprim were obtained from healthy animals and diagnostic sample submissions. Salmonella Dublin was isolated only from cattle and showed resistance to older quinolones [126]. Another study in Japan on food producing animal was indicated that resistance was found for 8 of 11 antimicrobials tested, at the following rates: 46.4% for dihydrostreptomycin followed by ampicillin and oxytetracycline (both 8.9%) [127]. In Faisalabad, Pakistan also Salmonella isolates showed 100% resistance against bacitracin, erythromycin and novobiocin [128]. Similarly in Canary Islands, Spain all isolates were susceptible to all of the tested antimicrobial agents, which included ampicillin, amoxicillin/clavulanic acid, tetracycline, enrofloxacin, chloramphenicol, nalidixic acid, piperacillin and trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole [91]. Another study in Spain also showed that all isolates were multi-resistant. The average number of resistances per strain increased from 3.98 in 1993 to 5.00 in 2006. An increase in the incidence of resistance was observed between 1993 and 2006 for cephalothin, enrofloxacin and tetracycline [129].

Resistance in Salmonella has been described especially in Africa countries and the appearance of Salmonella Typhimurium DT104 in the late 1980s raised main public health concern [116; 113; 38]. In Namibia study conducted in food animals documented that from the Salmonella isolates, 19.7% were resistance to one or more of the antimicrobials whereas 80.3% were susceptible to all 16 antimicrobials tested. Resistance to sulfisoxazole and the trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole combination were the most common [107]. In Sudan, 46.8% of Salmonella serotypes isolated from animal were found to be resistant to at least one of the tested nine antimicrobial agents and 45 isolates (37.8%) were found to be multidrug-resistant. Ciprofloxacin and gentamicin were found to be highly active against the isolates. But the isolates showed high resistance to ampicillin, chloramphenicol, tetracycline and sulfamethoxazole-trimethoprim [130]. According to Fasure et al. [131] reported in Nigeria Salmonella isolates were 100% resistant to ampicillin, 90.6% to tetracycline and moderately sensitive to nalidixic acid (62.5%). Fluoroquinolone resistant S. Typhimurium strains from food animal were also observed. In Meknes, Morocco, 43 (75.43%) Salmonella isolates were resistant to one or more antimicrobials. Out of 43 resistant Salmonella isolates, 17 (39.5%) showed multiple resistance to two or more different antimicrobials. Resistance to tetracycline, sulfoxamides, trimethoprim and streptomycin was the most frequent [132]. According to Gideon et al. [4] in Kenya, antimicrobial resistance was found in 35.7% of the isolates. The S. Heidelberg isolates were susceptible to all the antimicrobials tested. Multidrug-resistance was found in 7.1% of the Salmonella isolates.

Salmonella isolates from Ethiopia at different times showed that Salmonella were susceptible
to ciprofloxacin, cotrimoxazole, and chloramphenicol [2], resistance to streptomycin (24/32, 75%), ampicillin (19/32, 59.4%), tetracycline (15/32, 46.9%), spectinomycin (13/32, 40.6%) and sulfisoxazole (13/32, 40.6%) [26]. Studies conducted in Addis Ababa documented that the antimicrobial susceptibility profile showed all except one were resistant to ampicillin and all isolates were resistant at least to one of antimicrobials tested [109].

Antimicrobial resistance was most common among Salmonella isolated from carcass (18/29, 62.1%) followed by pork (5/22, 22.7%). Multiple antimicrobial drug resistance was observed in 23 Salmonella isolates (23.5 %) [26]. Eleven of the 28 (39.3%) Salmonella isolate from cattle were resistant to one or more of the antimicrobials tested. Resistance was shown to ampicillin, chloramphenicol, gentamycin, norfloxacin, polymyxin-B, streptomycin, tetracycline, and trimethoprim. Four of 11 (36.4%) were multiple antimicrobial resistant. All the isolates tested were susceptible to the antimicrobial effects of gentamycin, norfloxacin, and trimethoprim. Eleven, four, and two isolates of the 28 were resistant to streptomycin, tetracycline, and ampicillin, respectively [110]. According to Bayleyegn Molla et al. [7], fifty-one (63.7%) of the 80 Salmonella strains were resistant to one or more antimicrobials of which 42 (52.5%) displayed multiple-drug resistance. Among the strains, 51.2% were resistant to sulfisoxazole, 46.2% to spectinomycin, 45% to amoxicillin-clavulanic acid and ampicillin, 41.2% to tetracycline and 30% to chloramphenicol.

5.2 Resistance Mechanism of Salmonella Species

Before, during and after infection non-resistant salmonella can be multi-drug resistant easily due to transfer of resistance genes from resistant bacteria or from the environment. The two mechanisms critical for the spread of antimicrobial resistance in Salmonella populations are (i) horizontal transfer of antibiotic resistance genes and (ii) clonal spread of antimicrobial drug-resistant Salmonella isolates [133]. Antimicrobial resistance genes are typically found on mobile genetic elements, such as integrons and plasmids, which are readily transferred among Salmonella strains and between other bacterial species and Salmonella. MDR Salmonella strains resulting from acquisition of these genetic elements have been found worldwide and are a growing concern for public health and food safety [12].

Salmonella resists the action of antibiotics by the following ways: (i) Inactivation of the antimicrobial agent: this is a communal cause of resistance that destroys or inactivates antimicrobial agents. The bacterial pathogens struggle attack by inactivating drugs through chemical modification. One enzyme of this type is β-lactamase. Several β-lactamase exist innumerable bacteria. The best known example is the hydrolysis of the β-lactam ring of Penicillin by the enzyme penicillinase. They are skilled of breaking the β-lactam ring of penicillin and some cephalosporin [134]. (ii) Efflux or transport of the antimicrobial: This resistance mechanism works by pumping the drug out of the cell after it has entered. Some pathogens have plasma membrane translocases, often called efflux pumps, that expels drugs. Because they are relatively nonspecific and can pump many different drugs including quinolones, these transport proteins are called multi-drug resistance (MDR) pumps. Many are drug/proton antiporters, e.g., proton enter the cell as the drug leaves [135]. (iii) Modification of the antimicrobial target site: Resistance arises when the target enzyme or cellular structure of the pathogen is changed so that it is no longer susceptible to the drug. This mechanism is found in Salmonella and other sulphonamide-resistant bacteria. These organisms have developed an enzyme that has a very high affinity for p-aminobenzoic acid and a very low affinity for sulphonamide. Therefore, even in the presence of sulphonamides, the enzymes work well enough to permit the bacterium to function [136]. (iv) Reduced permeability of the antimicrobial agent: Pathogens often become resistant simply by stopping entrance of the drug. The modification in membrane permeability occurs when new genetic information changes the nature of proteins in the membrane. Such amendments change a membrane transport system pores in the membrane, so an antimicrobial agent can no longer cross the membrane. In Salmonella species, resistance to tetracycline, quinolones, and some aminoglycosides have happened by this mechanism. A decrease in permeability can also lead to sulphonamide resistance [135]. Most drug resistance is due to a genetic alteration in the organism, either a chromosomal mutation or the acquisition of a plasmid or transposon [137].
6. KEY ACTIONS

The presence of even small numbers of pathogens in carcass meat may lead to heavy contamination of minced meat when it is cut into pieces; as more microorganisms are added to the surfaces of exposed tissue. Proper cooking of meat before consumption and improving personal and meat hygiene in the line of meat production from farm to fork should be adopted to ensure the safety of meat and meat products for human consumption. In addition to this, updated information on carcass is very important for knowing the prevalence of Salmonella species and microbial load for suggesting the acceptance of the carcass in relation to the standards and it would also contribute for the realization the current status of commonly used antibiotics and generating information on the possible antibiotics to treat salmonellosis.

7. CONCLUSION

Problems have their origin in the methods of farming of animal foods. Many farmers are illiterate and follow methods of production that are centuries old. They live in very close contact with their animals, often under poor hygienic conditions, thereby increasing the likelihood of food borne zoonoses. However, considerable proportion of patients may not visit health centers unless symptoms are serious due to shortage of resources and lack of awareness. Practicing good sanitary measures, extensive education programs for proper hygiene and improvement of managements are solutions to eliminate the high bacteriological load as well as prevalence of Salmonella in cattle carcass. In addition to this, laboratories should have the power and responsibility to investigate product trace-back bacteriological load and report to the stockholders before consumption about the quality and safety of cattle carcass. Both single and multiple antimicrobial resistance patterns to the commonly practiced antimicrobials in the veterinary and public health set up were observed, which is of special concern in developing countries here use of antibiotics has problems. In animals, there is treatment restriction because of inadequate drug alternatives; therefore, limited drugs are frequently used for treatment; this practice leads resistance to limited antibiotics. In addition to this, multidrug resistance was observed due to lack of restricting, discriminate and appropriate use of antibiotics in the food animal industry. So, restricting the use of antimicrobial agents in food animals, designation of multidrug-resistant Salmonella as an adulterant in ground beef, improving the mechanisms for product trace-back investigations and wise and discriminate use of antimicrobials should be practiced to combat the ever increasing situation of antimicrobial resistance.
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